arXiv:1704.02705v2 [math.AP] 14 Apr 2017

FRACTIONAL KIRCHHOFF EQUATION WITH A GENERAL CRITICAL NONLINEARITY

HUA JIN AND WENBIN LIU

Abstract. In this paper, we study the fractional Kirchhoff equation with critical nonlinearity

$$\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2dx\right)(-\Delta)^s u+u=f(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N>2s$ and $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian with $0<s<1$. By using a perturbation approach, we prove the existence of solutions to the above problem without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition when the parameter b small. What's more, we obtain the asymptotic behavior of solutions as $b\to 0$.

1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, we are concerned with the following fractional Kirchhoff equation

$$\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2dx\right)(-\Delta)^s u+u=f(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N>2s$ with $0<s<1$, a,b are positive constants and $(-\Delta)^s u$ is the fractional Laplacian which arises in the description of various phenomena in the applied science, such as the phase transition [19], Markov processes [1] and fractional quantum mechanics [15]. When $a=1$ and $b=0$, (1.1) becomes the fractional Schrödinger equations which have been studied by many authors. We refer the readers to [2, 5–7] and the references therein for the details. When $s=1$, the problem (1.1) reduces to the well-known Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2dx\right)\Delta u+u=f(u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

which has been studied in the last decade, see [9, 12, 17]. The equation (1.2) is related to the stationary analogue of the Kirchhoff equation $u_{tt}-\left(a+b\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2dx\right)\Delta u=f(x,u)$ on $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ bounded, which was proposed by Kirchhoff [13] in 1883 as a generalization the classic D'Alembert's wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings.

Recently, in bounded regular domains of $\mathbb{R}^N$, Fiscella and Valdinoci [11] proposed the following fractional stationary Kirchhoff equation

$$\begin{cases} M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2\right)(-\Delta)^s u=f(x,u), & \text{in } \ \Omega,\\ u=0 \quad \text{in } \ \mathbb{R}^N\backslash\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

which models nonlocal aspects of the tension arising from nonlocal measurements of the fractional length of the string. Also in bounded domains, Autuori et al. [4] dealt with the existence and the asymptotic behavior of non-negative solutions of a class of fractional stationary Kirchhoff equation. In the whole of $\mathbb{R}^N$, Pucci et al. [18] established the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial non-negative entire solutions of a stationary Kirchhoff eigenvalue problem. In the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35B33, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. fractional Kirchhoff equation, variational methods, critical growth.

W. Liu is the corresponding author.

subcritical case, by using minimax arguments, Ambrosio et al. [3] obtained the multiplicity results for (1.2) in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with b small. Also in the subcritical case, without the (AR)-condition, the authors [20] investigated the existence of radial solutions by using the variational methods combined with a cut-off function technique. More recently, without the (AR)-condition and monotonicity assumptions, in low dimension($N = 2, N = 3$), Z. Liu et al. [16] studied the existence of ground states in the critical case. To the best of our knowledge, there are few papers on the fractional Kirchhoff equations involving the critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $N > 3$, because of the tough difficulties brought by the nonlocal term and the lack of compactness of the Sobolev embedding $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \to L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Motivated by the works above, we investigate the existence of the positive solutions of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^N (N > 2s)$ with the critical growth. Precisely, f satisfies the following conditions:

- (f_1) $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t)/t = 0$ and $f(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \le 0$,
- (f_2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t)/t^{2_s^*-1} = 1$, where $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$,
- (f_3) there exist $D > 0$ and $p < 2_s^*$ such that $f(t) \ge t^{2_s^*-1} + Dt^{p-1}$ for $t \ge 0$.

Our main result can read as

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that f satisfies $(f_1) - (f_3)$ and $\max\{2, 2_s^* - 2\} < p < 2_s^*$, then for b small, (1.1) admits a nontrivial positive radial solution u_b. What's more, along a subsequence, u_b converges to u in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $b \to 0$, where u is a radial ground state to the limit problem*

$$a(-\Delta)^s u + u = f(u), \quad u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{1.4}$$

Because of the presence of the Kirchhoff term, in high dimension $N > 4s$, for the energy functional $I_b(u)$ (see section 2), one has $I_b(tu) \to +\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$ for each $u \neq 0$. That means Mountain pass geometry may not holds and Mountain pass theorem may not be appropriate. To overcome this difficulty, we use the variational method combined with the perturbation approach [21, 22] to get a special bounded (PS)-sequence. On the other hand, because of the presence of the Kirchhoff term, for the bounded (PS)-sequence $\{u_n\}$, even $u_n \to u_0$ weakly, it doesn't hold in general that u_0 is the critical point of the energy functional, which brings us more tough to get the compactness. We use the properties of the special (PS)-sequence and some results of the limit problem (1.4) to recover the compactness. Moreover,we obtain the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of (1.1) as $b \to 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. Some preliminaries are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we construct the min-max level. In Section 4, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries and functional setting

2.1. **Fractional order Sobolev spaces.** The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ with $s \in (0,1)$ of a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s\phi)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s}\mathcal{F}(\phi)(\xi)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform. If ϕ is smooth enough, it can be computed by the following singular integral

$$(-\Delta)^s\phi(x) = c_s \,\text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,\mathrm{d}y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where c_s is a normalization constant and P.V. stands the principal value. For any $s \in (0,1)$, we consider the fractional order Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\hat{u}|^2\,\mathrm{d}\xi < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm $\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(1 + a|\xi|^{2s})|\hat{u}|^2\,\mathrm{d}\xi\right)^{1/2}$. $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the space of radial functions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e. $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = u(|x|)\}$. The homogeneous Sobolev

space $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\xi|^s \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

which is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the norm $\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} = \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\hat{u}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\xi$.

For the further introduction on the fractional order Sobolev space, we refer to [10]. Now, we introduce the following Sobolev embedding theorems.

Lemma 2.1 (see [8, 10, 14]). *For any $s \in (0,1)$, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$ and compactly embedded into $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [1, 2_s^*)$. $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e., there exists $S_s > 0$ such that $S_s \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{2/2_s^*} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$.*

2.2. **The variational setting.** We define the energy functional $I_b : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_b(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(a|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + u^2\right) \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{b}{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

with $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\zeta)\,\mathrm{d}\zeta$. It is standard to show that I_b is of class C^1.

Definition 2.2. *We call $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a weak solution of (1.1) if for any $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\left(a + b\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\phi \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u\phi \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)\phi \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Obviously, the critical points of I_b are the weak solutions of (1.1).

Similar to the proof of Brezis-Lieb Lemma in [21], we can give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For $s \in (0,1)$, assume $(f_1)-(f_2)$ hold. Let $\{u_n\} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $n \to \infty$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n - u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)$.*

When $b = 0$, problem (1.1) becomes the limit problem (1.4) which plays a crucial role in our paper. The energy functional of (1.4) is defined as

$$L(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(a|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 + u^2\right) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)\,\mathrm{d}x, \quad u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

With the same assumptions on f in Theorem 1.1, it is not difficult to check that $L(u)$ satisfies the Mountain pass geometry. The Mountain pass value denoted by c is defined by

$$c = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_L}\max_{t\in[0,1]} L(\gamma(t)) > 0,$$

where $\Gamma_L = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)), \gamma(0) = 0, L(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$. In the following, we present some results of the ground states of (1.4) and the proof is similar as that in [22].

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose f satisfies $(f_1)-(f_3)$ and $\max\{2, 2_s^* - 2\} < p < 2_s^*$. Let S_r be the set of positive radial ground states of (1.4), then*

(i) S_r is not empty and S_r is compact in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

(ii) $c < \frac{s}{N}(aS_s)^{\frac{N}{2s}}$ and c agrees with the least energy level denoted by E, that is, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ such that $u \in \gamma(t)$ and $\max_{[0,1]} L(\gamma(t)) = E$, where $u \in S_r$,

(iii) $u \in S_r$ satisfies the Pohozăev identity

$$\frac{N-2s}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \,\mathrm{d}x = N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{2.1}$$

3. The minimax level

In order to get a bounded (PS)-sequence by the local deformation argument, a different min-max level is needed. Take $U \in S_r$ be arbitrary but fixed. By the definition of $\hat{U} = \mathcal{F}(U)$, for $U_\tau(x) = U\big(\frac{x}{\tau}\big), \tau > 0$, we have $\hat{U}_\tau(\cdot) = \tau^N \hat{U}(\tau\cdot)$. Thus $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} U_\tau|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x = \tau^{N-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} U|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$. From the Pohozăev identity (2.1), we obtain

$$L(U_\tau) = \Big(\frac{a\tau^{N-2s}}{2} - \frac{N-2s}{2N}\tau^N\Big) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} U|^2.$$

So, there exists $\tau_0 > 1$ such that $L(U_\tau) < -2$ for $\tau \geq \tau_0$. Set $D_b \equiv \max_{\tau\in[0,\tau_0]} I_b(U_\tau)$. Noting that $I_b(U_\tau) = L(U_\tau) + \frac{b}{4}\|U_\tau\|^4_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}$ and $\max_{\tau\in[0,\tau_0]} L(U_\tau) = E$, we have $D_b \to E$ as $b \to 0^+$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist $b_1 > 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_0 > 0$, such that for any $0 < b < b_1$ there hold*

$$I_b(U_{\tau_0}) < -2, \qquad \|U_\tau\| \leq \mathcal{C}_0, \ \ \forall \tau \in (0, \tau_0], \qquad \|u\| \leq \mathcal{C}_0, \ \ \forall u \in S_r.$$

Proof. Since S_r is compact, it is easy to verify that there exists $\mathcal{C}_0 > 0$ such that the second and third part of the assertion hold. It follows from $I_b(U_{\tau_0}) \leq L(U_{\tau_0}) + \frac{b}{4}\mathcal{C}_0^4$ and $L(U_{\tau_0}) < -2$ that the first part holds for any $0 < b < b_1$, where $b_1 > 0$ small. The proof is completed. □

Now, for any $b \in (0, b_1)$, we define a min-max value $C_b := \inf_{\gamma\in\Upsilon_b} \max_{\tau\in[0,\tau_0]} I_b(\gamma(\tau))$, where

$$\Upsilon_b = \big\{\gamma \in C([0,\tau_0], H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(\tau_0) = U_{\tau_0}, \|\gamma(\tau)\| \leq \mathcal{C}_0 + 1, \tau \in [0,\tau_0]\big\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. $\lim\limits_{b\to 0^+} C_b = E.$

Proof. For $\tau > 0$, by $\|U_\tau\|^2 = a\tau^{N-2s}\|U\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + \tau^N\|U\|_2^2$, we can define $U_0 \equiv 0$. So $U_\tau \in \Upsilon_b$. Moreover, $\limsup_{b\to 0^+} C_b \leq \lim_{b\to 0^+} D_b = E = c$. On the other hand, for any $\gamma \in \Upsilon_b$, it follows from $L(U_{\tau_0}) < -2$ that $\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot) = \gamma(\tau_0\cdot) \in \Gamma_L$. Thus, from the definition of c and C_b, we obtain $C_b \geq E$ for any $b \in (0, b_1)$. The proof is completed. □

4. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $\alpha, d > 0$, we define

$$I_b^\alpha := \{u \in H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N) : I_b(u) \leq \alpha\}$$

and

$$S^d := \left\{u \in H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N) : \inf_{v\in S_r} \|u - v\| \leq d\right\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = 0$ and $\{u_{b_n}\} \subset S^d$ with*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{b_n}(u_{b_n}) \leq E \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{n\to\infty} I'_{b_n}(u_{b_n}) = 0.$$

Then for d small, there is $u_0 \in S_r$, up to a subsequence, such that $u_{b_n} \to u_0$ strongly in $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. For convenience, we write u_{b_n} for u_b. Since $u_b \in S^d$, there exists $\tilde{u}_b \in S_r$ such that $\|u_b - \tilde{u}_b\| \leq d$. Let $v_b = u_b - \tilde{u}_b$. By the fact that S_r is compact and $\|v_b\| \leq d$, up to a subsequence, there exist $\tilde{u}_0 \in S_r$ and $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\tilde{u}_b \to \tilde{u}_0$ strongly in $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $v_b \to v_0$ weakly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Denoting $u_0 = \tilde{u}_0 + v_0$, then $u_0 \in S^d$ and $u_b \to u_0$ weakly in $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Next, we show $u_b \to u_0$ strongly in $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} I'_b(u_b) = 0$, then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$I'_b(u_b)\phi = L'(u_b)\phi + b\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u_b (-\Delta)^{s/2}\phi.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and $u_b \in S^d$ that $L'(u_0) = 0$ as $b \to 0$. Obviously $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ by $u_0 \in S^d$ with d small. Thus $L(u_0) \geq E$. Meanwhile, from Lemma 2.3, $I_b(u_b) = L(u_b) + \frac{b}{4}\|u_b\|^4_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} =$

$L(u_0)+L(u_b-u_0)+o(1)$. Together with $\lim_{n\to\infty} I_{b_n}(u_{b_n}) \le E$, we obtain $L(u_b-u_0)\le o(1)$. Thus, by $(f_1)-(f_2)$ and Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists constant $c_1>0$ such that $\|u_b-u_0\|^2 \le c_1\|u_b-u_0\|^{2_s^*}$. If $\|u_b-u_0\| \nrightarrow 0$ as $b\to 0$, there exists constant $c_2>0$ such that $\|u_b-u_0\|\ge c_2$ for b small. On the other hand, from $\tilde{u}_0\in S_r$ and $u_0\in S^d$, we get $\|\tilde{u}_0-u_0\|\le d$. Then $\|u_b-u_0\|\le \|u_b-\tilde{u}_b\|+\|\tilde{u}_b-\tilde{u}_0\|+\|\tilde{u}_0-u_0\|\le 2d+o(1)$, which is a contradiction for d small. The proof is completed. □

Remark 4.2. *By Proposition 4.1, for small $d\in(0,1)$, there exist $\omega>0, b_0>0$ such that*

$$\|I_b'(u)\|\ge\omega \text{ for } u\in I_b^{D_b}\bigcap(S^d\setminus S^{\frac{d}{2}}) \text{ and } b\in(0,b_0). \tag{4.1}$$

Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *There exists $\alpha>0$ such that for small $b>0$ and $\gamma(\tau)=U(\frac{\cdot}{\tau}), \tau\in(0,\tau_0]$,*

$$I_b(\gamma(\tau))\ge C_b-\alpha \quad \text{implies that} \quad \gamma(\tau)\in S^{\frac{d}{2}},$$

Proof. By the Pohozăev identity (2.1), $I_b(\gamma(\tau))=\left(\frac{a\tau^{N-2s}}{2}-\frac{N-2s}{2N}\tau^N\right)\|U\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+\frac{b}{4}\tau^{2N-4s}\|U\|^4_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}$. Then $\lim_{b\to0^+}\max_{\tau\in[0,\tau_0]} I_b(\gamma(\tau))=\max_{\tau\in[0,\tau_0]}\left(\frac{a\tau^{N-2s}}{2}-\frac{N-2s}{2N}\tau^N\right)\|U\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}=E$. On the other hand, $\lim\limits_{b\to0^+} C_b=E$. The conclusion follows. □

Thanks to (4.1) and Proposition 4.3, we can prove the following proposition, which assures the existence of a bounded (PS)-sequence for I_b. The proof is similar as that in [21,22]. We omit the details here.

Proposition 4.4. *For $b>0$ small, there exist $\{u_n\}_n\subset I_b^{D_b}\cap S^d$ such that $I_b'(u_n)\to0$ as $n\to\infty$.*

The completion of Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that there exists $b_0>0$ such that for $b\in(0,b_0)$, there exists $\{u_n\}\in I_b^{D_b}\cap S^d$ with $I_b'(u_n)\to0$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus, there exists $u_b\in H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, up to a subsequence, such that $u_n\to u_b$ weakly in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n\to u_b$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p\in(2,2_s^*)$ and $u_n\to u_b$ a.e in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Next, we claim that $I_b'(u_b)=0$ for b small. Set $f(t)=g(t)+t^{2_s^*-1}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_n)\varphi=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_b)\varphi+o_n(1)$ for any $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_n)u_n=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_b)u_b+o_n(1)$. Let $v_n=u_n-u_b$ and $\|v_n\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}\to A\ge0$, then $\|u_n\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}=\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+A+o_n(1)$. From $I_b'(u_n)\to0$, we have

$$\left(a+b\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+bA\right)\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+\|u_b\|_2^2=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_b)u_b+\|u_b\|^{2_s^*}_{2_s^*}. \tag{4.2}$$

The corresponding Pohozăev identity is

$$\frac{N-2s}{2}\left(a+b\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+bA\right)\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+\frac{N}{2}\|u_b\|_2^2=N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_b)+\frac{N}{2_s^*}\|u_b\|^{2_s^*}_{2_s^*}. \tag{4.3}$$

It follows from $I_b'(u_n)u_n\to0$ and Brezis-Lieb Lemma that

$$\left(a+b\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+bA\right)\left(\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+A\right)+\left(\|u_b\|_2^2+\|v_n\|_2^2\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_b)u_b+\|u_b\|^{2_s^*}_{2_s^*}+\|v_n\|^{2_s^*}_{2_s^*}+o_n(1).$$

Together with (4.2), we have

$$\left(a+b\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}}+bA\right)A+\|v_n\|_2^2=\|v_n\|^{2_s^*}_{2_s^*}+o_n(1). \tag{4.4}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $A \le \frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{A}{S_s}\right)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}} + o(1)$. If $A = 0$, we have done. If $A > 0$, then $A \ge a^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}}$. By the Pohozăev identity (4.3) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} I_b(u_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) a(\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + A) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) b(\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + A)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right)\|v_n\|_2^2 + o(1) \\ &\ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) aA + b\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right)(\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + A)^2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from $\{u_n\} \in S^d$, for d small, there exist $\tilde{u}_n \in S_r$ and $\tilde{v}_n \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n = \tilde{u}_n + \tilde{v}_n$ with $\|\tilde{v}_n\| \le d$. Thus $\|u_n\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} \le \|\tilde{v}_n\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + \|\tilde{u}_n\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} \le 1 + \sup_{v\in S_r}\|v\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} \triangleq B$ which implies that $\|u_b\|^2_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}} + A \le 2B$, where B is independent of b, n and d. So

$$I_b(u_n) \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) aA - 4b\left|\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right| B^2 + o(1).$$

Meanwhile, from $\limsup_{n\to\infty} I_b(u_n) \le D_b$, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) aA \le D_b + b\left|\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right| B^2.$$

Together with $A \ge a^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_s^{\frac{N}{2s}}$, we have $\frac{s}{N}(aS_s)^{\frac{N}{2s}} \le D_b + b\left|\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right| B^2 \to E$, as $b \to 0$, which is a contradiction with $E < \frac{s}{N}(aS_s)^{\frac{N}{2s}}$. So, the claim is true. Since $u_n \in S^d$, then for d small, $u_b \not\equiv 0$. Thus, for b and d small, there exists $u_b \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). In the following, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of u_b as $b \to 0$. Noting that $D_b \to E$ as $b \to 0$, the similar proof as that in Proposition 4.1, we obtain that there exist $u \not\equiv 0$ such that $u_b \to u$ strongly in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $L'(u) = 0$ and $L(u) = E$. The proof is finished. □

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271364).

References

[1] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes-from probability theory to finance and quantum groups, *Notices of the American Math Soc.*, **51** (2004), 1320-1331. 1

[2] C. O. Alves, M. A. S. Souto, M. Montenegro, Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth, *Calc. Var. PDE.* **43** (2012), 537–554. 1

[3] V. Ambrosio, T. Isernia, A multiplicity result for a fractional Kirchhoff equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with a general nonlinearity. *arXiv:1606.05845* 2

[4] G. Autuori, A. Fiscella and P. Pucci, Stationary Kirchhoff problems invoving a fractional elliptic operator and a critical nonlinearity, *Nonlinear Anal.* **125**(2015), 699-714. 1

[5] G. Autuori and P. Pucci, Elliptic problems involving the fractional Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Differential Equations.* **255** (2013), 2340-2362. 1

[6] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, U. Sánchez, A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractionnal Laplacian, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb.*, **143A**(2013), 39-71. 1

[7] X. Chang and Z. Q. Wang, Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity, *Nonlinearity*, **26** (2013), 479–494. 1

[8] A. Cotsiolis and N. K. Tavoularis, Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **295** (2004), 225–236. 3

[9] Y. Deng, S. Peng, W. Shuai, Existence and asymptotic behavior of nodal solutions for the Kirchhoff-type problems in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **269** (2015), 3500-3527. 1

[10] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136** (2012), 512–573. 3

[11] A. Fiscella and E. Valdinoci, A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator. *Nonlinear Anal.* **94**(2014), 156-170. 1

[12] X. He, W. Zou, Existence and concetration behavior of positive solutions for a Kirchhoff equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$, *J. Differential Equations* **252** (2012), 1813-1834. 1
[13] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883. 1
[14] P. L. Lions, Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **49** (1982), 315–334. 3
[15] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Levy path integrals*, Physics Letters A **268** (2000), 298–305. 1
[16] Z. S. Liu, M. Squassina, J. J. Zhang, Ground state for fractional Kirchhoff equations with critical nonlinearity in low Dimension. *arXiv:1612.07914*. 2
[17] N. Nyamoradi, Existence of three solutions for Kirchhoff nonlocal operators of elliptic type, *Math. Commun.* **18**(2013), 489-502. 1
[18] P. Pucci and S. Saldi, Critical stationary Kirchhoff equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ involving nonlocal operators, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **32**(2016), 1-22. 1
[19] Y. Sire, E. Valdinoci, Fractional Laplacian phase transtion and boundary reactions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result. *J. Funct. Anal.* **256(6)** (2009), 1842-1864. 1
[20] M. Q. Xiang, B. L. Zhang and M. M. Yang, A fractional Kirchhoff-type problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$ without the (AR) condition. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **61(11)**(2016),1481-1493. 2
[21] J. J. Zhang, J. M. do O and M. Squassina, Schrödinger-Poisson systems with a general critical nonlinearity. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*,1650028 (2016). 2, 3, 5
[22] J. J. Zhang, J. M. do O and M. Squassina, Fractional Schrödinger-Poisson systems with a general subcritical or critical nonlinearity, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **16(1)**(2016), 15-30. 2, 3, 5

(H. Jin)
College of Science
China University of Mining and Technology
Xuzhou, 221116, China
E-mail address: huajin@cumt.edu.cn

(W. B. Liu)
College of Science
China University of Mining and Technology
Xuzhou, 221116, China
E-mail address: liuwenbin-xz@163.com